

Robert M Edwards

against

Junius W Williams & Mary E Williams his wife who before marriage was Edwards. Plff } In base

6th 99 35
Law 250
11.85
Fi fa if

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the Defendants say they did not assume of this they put themselves upon the country and the plaintiff likewise and thereupon came a jury tried Bryant W. T. Ridley W. H. Miles, Henry W Williams, L. L. Manry & John J Turner who being elected tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined upon their oaths do say "We the jury find for the defendants" Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff take nothing by his bill and that the same be dismissed and that the Defendants recover against the plaintiff their costs by them about their defence on this behalf expended.

Jesse B Vick

against

James B Vick & Mary his wife

On the motion of the plaintiff by his attorney this suggestion is dismissed.

Plff

Plff } Upon a suggestion
of

James Holmes

against

Allen Edwards

On the motion of the plaintiff by his attorney this case is dismissed.

Plff

Plff } Upon Interrog
filed 7th

Charles G Elliott & Jno. T White merchants & partners doing business under the name firm
Style of Elliott & White

against

E. B Beaton & Jas. P. Darden late partners doing business under the name
Style of Beaton & Darden

11 10 34

Fi fa if

This day came as well the plaintiffs as the Defendant Darden by their attorneys (the Defendant Beaton having been adjudged a bankrupt this suit is discontinued as to him) and the Defendant Darden saying nothing in bar or preclusion of the plaintiffs action whereby the plaintiffs remain undisturbed. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover against the said Defendant Darden Eighty five dollars ninety two cents, the amount of the account upon which this action is brought with legal interest thereon from August 1st 1871 till paid and their costs by them about their suit on this behalf expended.

Plff

Plff } In base
Defts }

George W Vick late Sheriff of Southampton & as such administrator of James Magell dec

against

Charles Beale & John B. Lykes

11 9 34

Fi fa if

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the Defendants with drawing their pleas say they cannot gainsay the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants thirty four dollars the debt in the declaration mentioned with legal interest thereon from 25th December 1860 till paid and his costs by him about his suit on this behalf expended.

Defts

Defts } In base
Plff }